Office of the Hunter College Senate

Room 1018 East Building Phone: 772-4200

MINUTES

		Meeting of the Hunter College Senate 8 September 2004
1 2		The 443rd meeting of the Hunter College Senate was convened at 4:10 PM in Room W714.
3 4	Presiding:	Joan Tronto, Chair
5 6	Attendance:	The elected members of the Senate with the exception of those listed in Appendix I.
7 8	Agenda:	The agenda was adopted as distributed.
9 10	Minutes:	The Minutes of April 14, April 28 th , May 5 th , May 12 th , and May 19 th were approved as distributed.
11 12 13	Report by the Chair:	Professor Tronto read the message she had posted on Hunter-L:
14		"Waiting for Dean Godot?
15		The Problem
16		
17		A colleague worked as a staff member at a large public Southwest University. He recently
18		reported that the faculty there had been waiting for a long time for all the administrators to be put
19		into place so that they could act like a faculty again. He said, "But I think they are just going to
20		keep waiting, and never regain their role in the institution."
21		
22		Does this scenario sound familiar? Increasingly, universities are expected to imitate corporations,
23		and finding the right leadership seems to be the panacea (here at CUNY, for example, the
24		Chancellor gave himself a huge raise out of Research Foundation funding while the faculty work
25 26		without a contract). At the same time, those of us who spend our lives in the academy have fallen into a bad habit. We have been willing to accede to the hierarchical model that the top leadership
27		sets the direction for the institution. As we wait for the "right" deaconal structure, the "right"
28		president, provost, dean, associate dean, etc. (and I think some of our current administrators are
29		really fine), incremental changes are piling up, having a large impact, and changing our college in
30		ways that we no longer control. No wonder, then, as I have listened to faculty and students in the
31		last year, they seem disengaged, morale is low, and almost no one is eager to participate in college
32		governance.
33		
34		Universities are not Corporations or Bureaucracies
35		
36		In his commencement address at NYU in 2003, John Sexton, President of NYU pointed to the
37		venerability of universities:
38		
39		"Consider this reality: There are only 85 human institutions that have been in continuous
40		operation for more than 500 years. Two you could get with a little thought: the Catholic

up to 10.

that have been in continuous a little thought: the Catholic Church and the British Parliament. If I tell you there are eight cantons in Switzerland, you're

"But the striking point is that of the remaining 75 human institutions that have been in continuous operation for more than five centuries, 70 of them are universities, beginning with Al-Azhar University in Egypt. That universities endure in this way testifies powerfully to the ideal that knowledge and learning deserve a special house of their own, and all of us – faculty,

Minutes Page 5107

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

students and everyone associated with our university -- are privileged to be the inhabitants of that house." (*NY Times*, June 1, 2003, p. 41)

Universities are not corporations or bureaucracies that should operate on a principle of hierarchy. To transform the collegial (same Latin root as "college") way that we make decisions and judgments into a hierarchical form is, in fact, poisonous to the university's way of being. Universities are still best described as self-governing, and they must be. As the creators, preservers, and transmitters of the most exalted knowledge in a culture, those of us in the academy are dealing with "goods" more precious than any found in the marketplace. The integrity of knowledge is our special responsibility, and it requires that we make hard judgments about our own work, the work of our colleagues, the work of our students, all the time. To be involved in the university requires us to make difficult judgments about ourselves and others. The creation of knowledge requires both bravery and humility. Peer review is the principle that guides our lives as teachers and scholars. We are reviewed by peers, by equals, because no one else is qualified to do it. To introduce the principle of hierarchy, i.e., to argue that there is a "boss" to whom the rest of us should submit because that person occupies a structurally superior position, violates the principle of peer review. There is a reason why universities have a culture of consultation, then, that extends far back to the medieval roots of the Western university and helps to explain why these remarkable institutions endure through time.

Some people, who do not understand the nature of the university, attribute our commitment to collegiality, to collective and shared decision-making, as an attachment to the (in their view, failed) politics of the 1960s. (See some of the consultants' volumes of the Mayor's Advisory Task Force on CUNY, 1999). While people in the 1960s also opposed hierarchy, the university did not decide to become collegial as a result of the 1960s. It is true that Hunter College's governance structure changed in the early 1970s after student unrest. Students were rightfully frustrated by their exclusion and by the intransigence of the university. But in broadening governance, Hunter actually came closer to its long-term commitments. Traditions of self-governance are intrinsic to the nature of the academy.

Fateful Decisions for the Senate

So, it is time for us—faculty and students of Hunter College—to stop waiting for a president, a dean, a new department chair, someone else, to make Hunter better.

We have the Senate as a way to express our collective will. The Senate is not a perfect institution, but it is large enough to allow for genuinely open and diverse discussions of important issues. Anyone who wishes to join this discussion is welcome. In recent years, the Senate has spent too much of its time dealing with trivial matters. We can change this situation if we bring more serious matters to the Senate.

We really need, as a College, to make some fateful decisions, to agree on some basic principles, and to have our individual and collective actions guided by our agreed-upon goals, not some administrator's ideas.

I hope that this year the Senate will make some fateful decisions. Here are some of the kinds of questions that we have to put to ourselves:

• Is Hunter basically an outstanding liberal arts institution with professional schools that are strengthened by being part of a liberal arts institution, or are we a multiversity in which the professional schools matter as much as the liberal arts college? How does our vision of our own unique history and quality fit with the vision of us that exists at 80th Street? What is our proper role within CUNY?

Minutes Meeting of the Hunter College Senate 8 September 2004 Page 5108

• Who do we want to be our students? Do we want to make the commitment that we will provide the necessary resources to all students admitted here that they will be able to complete their degree here? What is the proper balance of first year students to transfer students? That is the proper balance of graduate students to undergraduate students?

■ How do we want to educate our students? Do we want to make certain that students are transformed through their learning to know more about the world and how to find out about it? What is the difference between an "honors" student and another student? What does it require for students today to be well educated?

■ How shall the faculty balance its joint commitments to creating new knowledge through research and scholarship, and to preserving and transmitting existing knowledge, through teaching, training, and working with graduate students?

Over the course of this academic year, I hope to write a series of these essays about "Fateful Decisions." After we have had a chance to discuss them, we will see if we are ready to restate our basic principles and thus to guide decisions made around us.

I invite all members of the Hunter College community to jump into this discussion, to post responses to this and other writings on Hunter-L, to discuss these questions with students, faculty, and administrators, and to join into this process to define the College for ourselves."

Report by the President:

A summary statement of President Raab's report to the Senate is as follows. She said:

"It is a pleasure to welcome everybody back. There is very good news about our rankings in *US News and World Report*. We are ranked 50 this year, which is up from 66. A number of factors contributed to this rise, including the graduation rates, retention rates, SAT scores of students as well as the peer review. For the peer review we have to thank all of the faculty here for helping to make this possible. The *Princeton Review* is based on student reports and what people think of each other's institutions. We did very well coming in at number four for diversity and number twelve for best bargain. This was a great way for us to start off the new academic year.

RE: Budget

You have all been following as much as one can, the budget discussions up in Albany that are going back and forth between vetoes. The most important point at the moment is that we have been left sort of at a hold harmless place in terms of our operation budget. We are hoping that some of the items that the governor vetoed within the CUNY operation budget will be positively reconsidered. One of most important items to us is the addition of new faculty. Nobody can guess at this point where this is going. Our capital allotments were left untouched. One of the very good other pieces of news in June was that the CUNY Board of Trustees approved our contract with one of the major real estate advisors and land-use attorneys in the city, who are now hard at work looking for a site for a new science building. The first phase of this is funded in the CUNY capital budget. We are also looking for a new facility for the art program, and the first phase of planning is also funded in the capital budget. They are hard at work and as soon as there are options to discuss I will come back with a report. In the next few months I am hoping to present the architectural plans for Roosevelt House. That money is secure and the plans are moving ahead.

We did very well this year with our city officials. I have to thank the chemistry faculty for being very aggressive in coming over to my office and saying the organic chemistry lab must be renovated. We got Virginia Fields and our councilwoman to provide a million dollars. From the City Council we received \$300,000 to begin work on the Thomas Hunter Hall exteriors, and our local State Assemblyman Jonathan Bing has provided funds to restore the student lounge in Thomas Hunter Hall. So, we are working on that as well.

Minutes

Page 5109

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

and Science. I felt that it was a very important conversation to have before going ahead with a search for a permanent dean. I want to thank everyone here who participated either on the committee or with comments. I have spoken to many faculty here and also to many other academic leaders at other institutions. I think that the conversations here were very thought provoking and that we all learned a lot about where we are as an institution at Hunter now. I think that the Senate report, although it did not have a consensus in it, provided us with a lot of information about the needs of the school regardless of the structure. As I said, there was no clear consensus that emerged from the report, and I think in discussing it we all realized that there was really no right answer, and that each of these structures had benefits and issues that had to be addressed. There was a lot of consensus on the point that the new unified structure had not been fully implemented, and that it was left with many of the other remnants without the resources to fully implement the office of the dean. In looking at all the information and talking to faculty and administrators throughout the schools, and specifically within Arts & Sciences, I have decided that the wisest course right now is to keep us within the one unified School of Arts and Science under one dean with a very very strong commitment to fully implement and staff the office. To that end we have already recruited a top notch budget person, who will begin to work with the chairs and the deans office in the next few weeks to simplify and expedite many of the budgetary and budget management issue.

As you know, we had a very long conversation last year about the structure of the School of Arts

We are also looking at the other needs in the office to ensure that it is serving the departments for which it is responsible and doing the absolute best job. There are many factors that influenced my decision. It was a very hard decision because there were very strong merits on either side, but I felt that since the time of the three dean structure we have become much more disciplinary in nature, and I was very influenced by the number of chairs who felt they did not know where their home would be if we went back to the three dean model. I also believe that the work that we have done and the work that is happening at the school that is focusing on undergraduate liberal arts education through coordinated programs, improvement in ORSEM that the Dean's Office and Student Services have been working on, enrollment management of providing the classes that students need to be able to complete their GER and all the other emphases that we have put on student success by providing them with the classes and the support that they need to stay in school and to proceed towards graduation, was really supported by a unified deans model. That was a very important factor in my decision-making, as well as the general growth of complexity within CUNY in budget and enrollment management issues, which have an impact on whether we are able to serve our students.

As I said, in choosing this model we are fully committed to providing the resources to support the Dean's Office. One of the issues that came up over and over again in all of the discussions was the question of whether you can get sufficient support for academic planning, curricular development, and faculty development through one dean, and the question of whether there can be a level of an associate or some type of intermediary level that can provide that type of intellectual community that many people recalled and really felt was lacking and what was the appropriate mechanism to do that. Is it associate deans, is it one associate dean, are they associate deans by subject matter, or specifically the chairs need to focus on and provide guidance for. So, I have spoken to the chairs of the School of Arts and Science and asked Dr. Frank Kirkland to take on the role of chairing an informal committee to discuss this question, and to make recommendations on how we fulfill the need that was clearly articulated. Frank has just agreed to do this and he is working on how to put together that committee, how to get that input, and also what the timetable is. I also want to say that we all owe a great debt of gratitude to Janet Robertson who has done as far I can see about six jobs. It has been truly extraordinary. By hiring the budget person, we will be able to free her up to focus on personnel issues and other types of administrative work in the office so that she does not have to be the sole person responsible for all the administrative functions.

219220221222

Minutes
Meeting of the Hunter College Senate
8 September 2004

223

I will come back to talk to about the committee's suggestions, and we can have a conversation about how do we fill that role in the Dean's Office.

Re: Search for Dean of the School of Education

The Chair of the Senate and I are conferring on how to restart the search. As many of you know, there were three candidates who came to campus for interviews. I carefully read the evaluations of faculty groups, students, administrators, and the references that the search firm provided that were very very extensive. But at the end of the day I did not feel comfortable that we had found our Dean of Education yet. So, we are going to restart the search.

Re: Capital Campaign

The Chancellor will make an announcement about the campaign for the CUNY colleges in November. As I said last year, the College presidents were very adamant as a group that each campus have its own campaign, because we all feel strongly that we have our own identities, our own alumni base, our own philanthropic base, and that we want to be able to really go after the sources of funding that are out there for us. In the next couple of weeks I will be talking a lot about the Hunter Capital Campaign, and I will be asking for your help.

President Raab yielded the floor to Vice President Ayravainen, who said:

"For those of you who have not looked at the Registrar's new web page, please do so. We have loads of information about requirements, deadlines, exciting things that are happening. We also have something called E-advising and Linda Carlson and her staff have worked very hard to put new information on our web page. E-advising is not meant as a replacement to seeing advisors, but it does have commonly asked questions and answers. The Registrars web page is updated constantly with new information, and if you have any suggestions we will do everything in our power to make those suggestions a reality.

A number of years ago the Senate passed a rule that says that a student who reaches the sixty-first credit must declare a major at the college (page 42 of the current Hunter College catalog). For a variety of reasons it has not been enforced. However, we find that at graduation audit we have students with well over one hundred credits who have never declared a major, but they think they have. They have a rude awakening at that time. We are now going to start enforcing that particular rule. We already have a list of students from our current student body with more that 61 credits who have not declared their major. We are in the process of writing them a letter, indicating that they have up until November 1st to declare their major in a particular department or program. The letter will also indicate that if they have not declared their major by November 1st we will put a registration stop on their records so that they cannot register for the next term until they have actually declared a major, and that they should come to the Office of Student Services immediately so that they can be cleared for registration which usually happens around December 10th. This is extremely important for our students.

The Provost and I discussed that we are also going to send a letter every semester to all students who have achieved 30 credits at the college, asking them to start thinking about declaring a major by investigating departments, reading literature, going on the websites, and discussion with their faculty what it is that they want to do and how to go about doing it so that they are getting used to the idea that they do have to declare a major in a timely manner. Graduate Audit is the area where the college certifies that a student has met all requirements for graduation. It is not an advising tool, and students should have seen advisors and should be familiar with College requirements for graduation prior to turning in the graduation audit form."

Minutes Meeting of the Hunter College Senate 8 September 2004 Page 5111

Vice President Ayravainen yielded the floor to Provost Pizer, who said:

"Eija has phrased the issue well. The whole question about how students progress through Hunter College, the kind of academic advising that they get, and the ways in which they choose courses are at the heart of this. We get audited by the State, and under NY State guidelines, students who have not declared a major by the 61-credit point are ineligible for TAP. There are currently over 1800 undergraduate students at Hunter with more than 61 credits who have not declared a major at this point. That is more than 10% of our undergraduate student body of 15,000. We will look at that group of students and work with them and the departments so that they will be able to declare a major before spring registration."

Provost Pizer yielded the floor to Vice President Ayravainen, who said:

"We also are in the process of sending another email memo to students about academic integrity and student disciplinary procedures. Copies will be sent to faculty and staff as well. As you know, the CUNY Board of Trustees approved a new policy on academic integrity last June. It does not differ greatly from what we have already been doing. This memo will describe what the procedures are, and what is expected of students and faculty at the college. If you do have any questions or if you are uncertain about what to do, please call Dean Escott at 772-4876 or email me."

Vice President Ayravainen yielded the floor to Vice President Zinnanti, who said:

"I want to talk about a situation that is facing the College that is very important. The Chancellor has signed a consent decree with the Federal Department of Environmental Protection, and all CUNY colleges will be audited for compliance with EPA rules. This is a very serious matter, because if we are found in violation we will be fined heavily, and the College is responsible for paying those fines. This affects the Schools of Arts & Sciences, Health Professions, Campus schools, as well as our Facilities area. The audit will begin in mid-October and will be conducted by the firm of O'Brien & Gear on behalf of the EPA. The areas that we are very concerned about are laboratories, studios, shops, and storage rooms. We are very concerned about the management of chemicals, labeling, storage, and the disposal of chemicals as well as universal waste like computer monitors and light bulbs. Ricardo Franco is our Director of Environmental Health and Safety, and his phone number is 772-4462. Please call him if you have any issues that you think are not in compliance with EPA guidelines that will place the College at risk. I would appreciate it if you would report them directly to him or to me."

Report by the Administrative Committee:

The Chair presented the report as follows:

Election of Committee Chairs:

She informed the Senate that the election of Chair for the Budget, Master Plan, and Charter Review Committees would be postponed to the next meeting.

The floor was open for <u>Chair of the Nominating Committee</u>.

Dr. Marilyn Rothschild (Physics & Astronomy) was nominated.

It was moved that nominations be closed. The motion carried by voice vote. Dr. Rothschild was reelected Chair of the Nominating Committee.

Amendment to College-Wide Search Procedures

The motion on the floor was the addition of the following paragraph to section 3.A: Search Committees for College-wide Administrators that would have the effect of adding three members of the staff to the Search Committee:

Minutes Page 5112 **Meeting of the Hunter College Senate** 8 September 2004 "In the case of a search for Vice President for Student Affairs and Dean of Students the Hunter College Senate shall elect a panel of 6 students and 8 faculty members from the pool of nominees in such a manner as to achieve the widest possible distribution among the divisions, and 6 members of the staff from areas reporting to the Vice President for Student Affairs and Dean of Students. Nominations of members of the staff shall be made by petition submitted to the Senate Office of at least 25 signatures by members of the staff from areas reporting to the Vice President for Student Affairs and Dean of Students. From this panel the President shall choose a search committee consisting of 4 faculty members, 3 students, and 3 members of the staff." The motion was approved by voice vote after a brief objection by Dean Linda Chin. The meeting adjourned at 5:30 PM because of the late hour. Respectfully submitted, Anthony Picciano, Secretary