Office of the Hunter College Senate

Room 1018 East Building Phone: 772-4200

MINUTES

Meeting of the Hunter College Senate 10 May 2006

The 469th meeting of the Hunter College Senate was convened at 4:15 PM in Room W714.

2 3 **Presiding:**

1

4 5

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

21 22 23

24

25

Joan Tronto, Chair

Attendance:

The elected members of the Senate with the exception of those listed in Appendix I.

Professor Tronto said: "This is the last meeting of the 2005-2006 Senate. Next week's meeting on May 17th will be the first meeting of the 2006-2007 Senate. It is very important that everyone come to that meeting. First, we need a large number of voting members so that a sufficient number of votes will be cast if the Senate wishes to ratify the amendments to the Governance Charter. If the amendments pass, they will then be sent through the President's Office to 80th Street for ratification by the CUNY Board of Trustees. Second, we have other business to conduct as well, as you have seen from the agenda we circulated to you. Third, we are also going to have a party in the West Lobby immediately following the meeting next week to celebrate the past and future of the Senate. Please feel free to invite current and past members of the Senate, and members of your department who you think would be interested in joining us."

15 16 17

> The agenda was adopted by unanimous consent. Agenda:

19 20

Professor Tronto informed the Senate that the President is delayed and will report later.

Report by the **President:**

Report by the

College Calendar for 2006-2007

Administrative **Committee:**

The Chair informed the Senate that the University-wide College Calendar for 2006-2007 as issued by the CUNY Central Administration was submitted for the Senate's information. The Calendar is attached as Appendix II.

26 27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

Resolution Re: the Establishment of a Standing Committee on Academic Freedom

The Chair informed the Senate that the Administrative Committee had planned to submit a resolution to the Senate for consideration at this meeting in response to the University Faculty Senate's request that all campuses create such a standing committee. She informed the Senate that the Administrative Committee had met with President Raab, Provost Rabinowitz, Dean Schachter and Dean Chin, and that Professors Peluso, Ediger, Freudenberg, and Green were present as mediators. We met once last week and we are going to meet again next week to continue to move the discussion about academic freedom forward. Some people in the room were unclear about what a standing committee would mean and asked us not to bring that resolution to the Senate at this time. We agreed not to do so, but we fully expect that at the beginning of the next

academic year we will suggest such a resolution to you."

37 38 39

Items Carried Over:

Renewal of Motion to Approve the Recommendations of the Select Committee on Academic Freedom The motion on the floor is the motion to table, which carried over from the last meeting.

40 41 42

Professor Tronto proposed that the Senate carry this item over and not discuss those Recommendations at this point.

43 44 45

There having been no objection, it was so ordered.

46

Page 5298

Committee Reports:

The Chair presented the reports as follows: She said:

"Much of the work of the Senate is done in committee. This year many committees worked very diligently but have not brought many recommendations forward to the body. Nonetheless, I think it is important, especially

for student members, to hear what it is that the committees have done. I will ask the committee chairs who have indicated that they would be able to do so to make a report.

The **Undergraduate Course of Study Committee** is chaired by Professor Eckhard Kuhn-Osius. As you know, they have been working very hard because you see all the curriculum proposals that they bring forward after careful debate. The committee is also considering a number of other more substantive motions. They have looked this year at the interdisciplinary programs and their curriculum needs and operations, and they have looked at the resolution the Senate sent to that committee at the last meeting about whether or not there will be more information made available about courses before the registration period.

The **Graduate Course of Study Committee** does the same thing on the graduate level. Professor Jane Matthews had been chairing that committee throughout most of this year. The committee has recently elected a new chair, Professor Violet Malinski.

I think this is a nice opportunity for us to thank Jane Matthews for her service on this committee.

The **Undergraduate Academic Requirements Committee** is going to report to you next week. It is chaired now by Professor Bernadette McCauley."

Professor Tronto yielded the floor to Barbara Barone, Chair of the Student Standing Committee, who said:

"The **Student Standing Committee** recommends the candidates for graduation. Separately, we review the records for students who are on academic probation and who are academically dismissed because their G.P.A. fell below the guidelines set by CUNY. In the summer we also review the appeals because each student is given the opportunity to appeal that dismissal. There is a committee that meets throughout the summer reviewing those appeals and determining who should be continued on probation, who should be denied access to CUNY for one semester, and who should be continued and off probation because their G.P.A. has gone over 2.0 since their dismissal. Last year we made some recommendations to the Office of Student Services and to the Senate for some outreaches that should be done to help students be more successful in the College. We have worked closely with Eija Ayravainen and counselors in that office and can report that data shows that the majority of students who were reinstated last summer on probation did manage to raise their GPA's above 2 during the Fall semester. We are very pleased that we made the right decisions for each of the students this year.

Ms. Barone yielded the floor to Professor Tronto who yielded the floor to Professor Manfred Kuechler, Chair of the Budget Committee. The following is a summary of his statement. He said:

"I thought it was important for senators to hear a little bit about the **Budget Committee** and what the Committee could have been doing, but wasn't doing. So, my report could simply say the Budget Committee did not meet this academic year, end of report. But that would only be half of the story, and I want to talk a little bit about why we didn't meet. While it is important to know what has happened in the past, I strongly believe it is much more important to look forward. We have important things ahead of us at Hunter, and I hope we will be able to find a way to work together for the future.

I don't know how many of you have seen this booklet here, the so called "Compact," which is the initiative that was started by 80th Street to get some new money into CUNY, so that we could have new initiatives that go to the Master Plan. You remember that there was an advisory ad hoc committee headed by David Potash that came up with ideas about what we would do if new money would be coming into the

College. If you look at the Hunter College Governance Charter it is actually the responsibility of the Senate Budget Committee to find out from the various constituencies in the College what they need

Minutes Meeting of the Hunter College Senate 10 May 2006 Page 5299

money for and build that into recommendations to the President. This would have been an opportunity to actually involve the Senate Budget Committee, but it was not done.

One important reason is self critical, and I think the Senate needs to be very careful about it. If we want to have an active role in governance then we need to be willing to work year round. No administration, even the administration that is most willing and supportive of faculty governance can work that way. I fully understand that the reason that we were not asked to be involved has something to do with a certain lack of response time that we have. But on the other hand history indicates that the administration is not too keen in having too much faculty governance, even if they could get it.

It now looks like we may get that new money. The State Assembly and the Senate passed the budget and they overrode the vetoes by the Governor. Nobody knows for sure what the Governor will do in the end. But there are indications that 80th Street plans to go ahead and plans to give the money to the colleges. They realize that the decision process last September was a little bit rushed. They will give the colleges another opportunity to review what they came up with in September and to think about whether they want to make some changes in the larger scheme of things. That would be an opportunity to continue that dialogue.

I also remember the Acting Provost saying last September that we would continue the dialogue and talk about what we need for the College and how we can get at better results. To my knowledge, that ad hoc committee has never met again and there was no follow-up. So, what I would strongly urge is to engage the Senate Budget Committee in that process, and that we review the decisions that were made in those short three weeks and see if we can we make even more meaningful use of the money should it really come in.

The next round is upon us. September will come up again very shortly when we come back from the summer vacation, and 80th Street will plan year two of that process. Even if we come to the conclusion that the Senate Budget Committee in its present configuration is not sufficient, maybe too small or maybe not representative enough, then we should have a discussion going on what that advisory budget committee should be.

The other reason for not calling a meeting of the Senate Budget Committee is that everybody's time is valuable. I will keep this very short because I really don't want to dwell on the not so pleasant past. In March 2005 we said that if we want to do our job right, we cannot just look at one source of income, we need to look at all sources of income. And that is basically the same thinking at 80th Street. The catch word here is an all funds budget, not just looking at what comes in tax levy money or tuition money, but also looking at the money that comes down in overhead from the Research Foundation and money that comes on through philanthropy, to look at the income stream in general and then look at the expenditures stream in general. For that reason we had requested in March 2005 to get basic information to get us started on how much overhead money from the Research Foundation Hunter receives and how that money is allocated. That was one request, and the second request was to have information about the financials of the Hunter Foundation, philanthropy, and how much money is generated and more importantly, where does that money go, where is it allocated. Unfortunately, the administration took the position that that was none of our business. The Senate Administrative Committee then filed a FOIL request with the Central CUNY administration at the beginning of this academic year in about September. November 17 we got some information about the Research Foundation money, but rather than giving information about the last five years annually broken down, we got some lump sum average figures, not what we asked for. We appealed again and finally, on February 23, almost a full year after our initial request we got some more information about happened with the Research Foundation money. But even at that point the information was incomplete and inconsistent.

The interesting twist here is something that most of you probably have not heard of, and that is the 2005 Public Authority's Reform Act. You have heard about he Transit Authority and many other public authorities, and among these many public authorities are the Research Foundation of CUNY and the

Hunter Auxiliary Enterprise Board. That means they are subject to the Open Meeting law, and the minutes and all this information should be publicly available. It is not just that Hunter members are entitled to it, every citizen in the State of New York can request that information, and we still don't have it. And that is

Minutes Page 5300

Meeting of the Hunter College Senate 10 May 2006

a sad state of affairs and I very seriously hope that this will change and that the information will be made available for the purpose of having a better planning process."

Professor Kuechler yielded the floor to Professor Tronto who then yielded the floor to Professor Jill Gross, Chair of the Departmental Governance Committee who said:

"The Departmental Governance Committee meets to explore departmental by-laws, any revisions that are proposed or investigates any infractions to those by-laws. I joined the Committee this semester and we met once. I would like to acknowledge Professor Jane Matthews the former chair of the committee, who did an incredible amount of work going through the by-laws. We met in April to consider the proposed changes to the By-laws for the School of Nursing. Given some of the changes in Perez v. CUNY and the current rules concerning open meetings and so on, by-laws have to go through a great deal of revision processes in consultation with Dean Chin who makes sure that they are all legal and in keeping with the Hunter Governance Charter and the Board By-laws. We have begun the review process for the changes in the By-laws for the School of Nursing. They have gone back to the School of Nursing, and we are currently awaiting the revised By-laws."

Professor Gross yielded the floor to Professor Tronto who continued her report as follows. She said:

"The Calendar Committee is chaired by Professor Shirley Raps who reported to the Senate last December about the creation of a Winter Term.

Professor Barbara Berney is the chair of the **Library Committee**. The Library Committee has also been expanded into a Task Force by President Raab because at the moment it looks as if we do have a fairly large amount of money coming to us, which is going to be used to make substantial improvements in the Library. That committee has been meeting and will continue it's work.

Professor Sylvia Roshkow chairs the **Grade Appeals Committee**. That is the committee that hears grade appeals. They are busy and we thank them for their service.

Professor Barbara Sproul chairs the **Master Plan Committee**. The Committee has been meeting and considering proposals about space that the President has presented to them.

Charles Blumenthal as the Vice Chair of the Senate has been the chair of the **Teacher Evaluations Committee**. The main item on their agenda that they have been working on is to look into the desirability of getting the teacher evaluations in some electronic web readable form. How to go about doing that is complicated, but that is the question that the committee has been considering.

Professor Richard Stapleford is the Chair of the **Charter Review Committee** and you know what they have been up to.

The Committee on Computing and Technology currently has no chair. If anyone is willing chair it please let me know.

The **General Education Requirement Appeals Committee** is chaired by Professor Jaafar Kassem-Ali, and that committee works very hard and is constantly reviewing GER appeals, and we thank them for their ongoing service.

Professor Tronto yielded the floor to Professor Sandra Clarkson, Chair of the Select Committee on Performance Measures and Outcomes Assessment who said:

The **Select Committee on Performance Measures and Outcomes Assessment** (OA) has been meeting for about three years. We have not made a report because we really haven't had anything to report. In 1997, Hunter submitted a Middle States Report and in that Report we talked about what Outcomes Assessment was going on at Hunter; most OA was going on in the professional schools. In 2002-2003 Provost Pizer convened a task force that submitted a report, with a set of recommendations and a time

Page 5301

Minutes
Meeting of the Hunter College Senate
10 May 2006

line. As time lines do, they come and go; this one went without anything on the time line having taken place. The job of our Senate committee, as we see it, is (1) to serve as advisors to the Administration on OA, (2) to obtain the support of the Administration that we, as a College, need for a successful outcomes assessment, (3) to make sure Hunter provides proper education to faculty and students so that all understand the point of Outcomes Assessment and why we want it, (4) to make sure we have a "point person" assigned to help faculty with Outcomes Assessment and (5) to make sure that there are resources made available to departments that decide they will do Outcomes Assessment.

One of the first things our committee did was to meet with the Deans of the professional schools to find out what they were doing because our Schools of Education, Health Professions, and Social Work do a lot of outcomes assessment. The schools have licensing exams that have to be passed and they keep all kinds of records on courses, grades, the number of students passing certain tests, what kind of jobs they get. There are a lot of different outcomes that they measure. The Deans were very helpful to us, and actually offered to serve as resources to the School of Arts and Sciences. The Arts and Sciences is the only school that does not do organized Outcomes Assessment. Certainly, in the first few years after the Middle States report, there was a Dean, but, at that time, we had acting Presidents and Provosts. Now there is an Acting Dean but no one in her office has been asked to commit to being involved in outcomes assessment. We are optimistic now because we have an Associate Provost, David Potash, who is very energetic and enthusiastic, knows something about outcomes assessment and has met with us several times to talk about future plans.

As it stands right now, however, I think the committee very much feels like we have been running in place. We still do not have any widespread Outcomes Assessment education for faculty and that is crucial, since faculty must be involved in such assessment from its inception. We do not have an administrative structure with responsibility for outcomes assessment--I am speaking particularly about Arts and Sciences. At one point, we were told that one of the new Associate Deans in A&S will work with Outcomes Assessment; however, we do not have all the Associate Dean positions filled. There has been no real commitment of resources.

The Select Committee is still functioning as of now, but we are really tired of talking to ourselves. We would like to hear of specific Administration plans for Outcomes Assessment here at Hunter.

Professor Clarkson yielded the floor to Professor Tronto who continued her report as follows:

She informed the Senate that Professor Angelo Angelis is Chair of the **Select Committee on the First Year Experience**, which has been meeting and working very hard. She then called on Dean Madlyn Stokely, a member of the committee, who said:

"We had our last meeting yesterday and agreed that we needed to block out about three hours of time because we realize this is a very serious matter. We have met and we have gotten a lot of information. So most of the committee members are clear on what currently exists for students. We have scheduled a date in June to meet for about three hours to come up with some recommendations as to how we would move forward as a Committee and what we would recommend for the College as a whole."

Dean Stokely yielded the floor to Professor Tronto who continued her report as follows. She said:

The **Select Committee on Academic Freedom** is chaired by Professor Stuart Ewen and as you know they issued a report to the Senate in December.

The **Select Committee on Academic Integrity** did not meet this year because they finished their business last year.

290 Professor Tronto concluded her report by saying: "That is the list of committees, and there are no other reports. Is there any old business?
292

Minutes Page 5302 **Meeting of the Hunter College Senate** 10 May 2006 Professor Welter then moved for approval of the following resolution: BE IT RESOLVED, that the Senate give a vote of thanks to Professor Tronto for the years of excellent service that she has given to the Senate. The motion was approved by acclamation. Professor Tronto reminded the Senate that there would be several important pieces of businesses to conduct at next week's meeting, including Charter amendments and the election of Senate officers. She invited anyone who is interested in running for office and serving on the Senate Administrative Committee, to discuss their candidacy with current members of the Administrative Committee. Professor Tronto concluded her report by thanking the outgoing senators for their service. It was moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion carried and the meeting adjourned at 4:55 PM. Respectfully submitted, Stuart Ewen Secretary

Political Science

John Wallach

Rosalind Petchesky "E" Jennifer Gaboury

APPENDIX I

The following members were noted as absent from the meeting:

Faculty Psychology Tracy Dennis Anthony Browne Jeffrey Parsons **AFPRL Studies** Pedro Lopez-Adorno Ron Long Religion Anthropology Greg Johnson Barbara Sproul Gerald Creed Michael Taormina Romance Languages Art Brian Wood Monica Calabritto Maria Pelizzari Richard Stapleford SEEK Ruby Tsang "E" **Denise Simmons** T. Schmidt-Glenwinkel Willie Wimberly "E" **Biological Sciences** School of Social Work Robert Salmon Bill Sweeney Chemistry Pam Mills Michael Fabricant Namby Krishnamachari Dixie Goss Special Education Ellen Trief Dona Matthews Classical/Oriental Studies Robert Koehl Computer Science William Sakas Student Services Reva Cohen Curriculum & Teaching Frank Gardella **Economics** John Kim Theatre Alan Sikes **Educational Foundations** Jeanne Weiler Louisa Thompson Sarah Chinn Administration English Vita Rabinowitz Marlene Henessy "E" Laura Schachter Sylvia Roshkow Bill Zlata Trudy Smoke **Ex-Officio** Pierce Varous Dan Ross Film & Media Studies Tim Portlock Joe McElhanev Sonia Parrales Students Stuart Ewen Danilda Abreu Adina Davis Tami Gold Laurie Dionne Sivia Naimer Caroline Erb Lindsay Moran Geography Frank Buonaiuto Jose Longo Joseph Crea Haydee Salmun Ahmed Tigani Miguel Casiano Ines Miyares Barbora Halouzkova Laura Dillon Alex Kohen "E" Alicia Canary German Eckhard Kuhn-Osius Christina Davis Catherine Zinnel History Angelo Angelis Abdelhafid Toury Keith Yu Mathematics/Statistics Jane Matthews Milca Almodovar "E" Mohammad Saleem Music Mark Spicer Marina Matatov Brian Gaun Colleen Boris Michael Sternfeld Stephen Holzemer "E" Nursing Norie Manigault Joshua Thomas Leighsa Sharoff "E" Channel Mellish Cristina Rincon Sharlene Hamlet "E" James Wagstaff Philosophy Frank Kirkland Melissa Rosado "E" Andrea Callard Charles Blumenthal Physics/Astronomy Ying-Chih Chen Gary Henkle Julia Dalv Emmanuel Palatulan

Heidi Boisvert

APPENDIX II

THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK 2006-2007 ACADEMIC CALENDAR

FALL 2006				
<u>August 2006:</u> W 30				
September 2006:				
	LABOR DAY COLLEGE IS CLOSED			
F 22 – Su 24	No classes scheduled			
October 2006:				
Tu 3				
M 9	COLUMBUS DAY - CÓLLEGE IS CLOSED			
November 2006:				
TH 23 – F 24	THANKSGIVING RECESS COLLEGE IS CLOSED			
December 2006:				
	Last Day of Classes – Fall 2006			
TH 14				
F 15 – F 22				
Su 24 – M 25	COLLEGE IS CLOSED			
Su 31	COLLEGE IS CLOSED			
	SPRING 2007			
January 2007:				
M29	<u>First Day of Classes Spring 2007</u>			
February 2007:				
	LINCOLN'S BIRTHDAY COLLEGE IS CLOSED			
W 21	Classes Follow a Monday Schedule			
March 20007				
April 2007 M 2 – Tu 10				
May 2007:				
	Last Day of Classes – Spring 2007			
F 18 - F 25	<u>Final Week of Spring Semester 2007</u> (Final examinations or last instructional period where no finals are given)			
M28				
17120				