		- ~ 4 -
Office of toom 1018 East Build	the Hunter College	Phone: 772-4200
	MINUT	TES
	Meeting of the Hunte	r College Senate
	3 Novembe	r 2004
	The 446th meeting of the Hunter College	Senate was convened at 4:10 PM in Room W714.
Presiding:	Joan Tronto, Chair	
Attendance:	The elected members of the Senate with the	e exception of those listed in Appendix I.
Agenda:	The agenda was adopted as distributed.	
Minutes:	The Minutes of October 13th were approvas follows: "Master <u>Plan</u> Committee."	red with a correction for lines 54 and 56, which should read
Report by the	Since President Raab had informed the Se	nate that she would be delayed the Senate moved on to the
President:	next item of business.	·
Report by the Administrative	The Chair presented the report as follows:	
Committee:	Approved Curriculum Changes	
	<u> </u>	ed in the Report dated 3 November 2004, were approved as for the Senate's information: Items US-1416 and US-1417 comance Languages).
	The Chair the stated that the Administrati	ve Committee had met with the President. There was a ard to out next meeting on November 30 th .
Committee Reports:	Nominating Committee Dr. Marilyn Rothschild, Chair of the Committee, presented the report dated 3 November 2004, as	
	distributed. The following were nominate	d:
	Undergraduate Course of Study Comm	
	Student:	Cristina Rincon (Sophomore)
	Grade Appeals Committee	
	Student Alternate:	Niccole Odom (Sophomore)
	GER Appeals Committee	
	Faculty:	Gita Rajan (German)
	Select Committee on Performance Me	easures & Outcomes Assessment
	Student:	Nyasha Tindall (undeclared)
	It was moved that the nominations be ann	roved as a packet. The motion carried by voice vote.
	it was moved that the nonlinations of app	to the de a passion. The modern carried by voice vote.

Minutes
Meeting of the Hunter College Senate
3 November 2004

Page 5123

Budget Committee

The following is a summary statement of the report by Professor Manfred Kuechler, Chair of the Budget Committee. He said:

"The Budget Committee just started again with mostly new members. I have agreed to the request to give an early report based on the idea that we should try to make Senate meetings more information filled. We know that the Charter is set up so that the actual work that is done in the committees rather than here in the plenary sessions, and I want to give you a sense of what the Committee has agreed to do, but will not be able to provide specific conclusions at this time. I think it is a good idea because it gives everybody a chance to have some input by making suggestions about what the committee should look into, rather than waiting until some resolution comes up.

Obviously, the Budget Committee is a very important committee because we all know everything rests on budget. We can think of a lot of good things that we want to do, but if we don't have the funds it just won't work. What we decided to look into are a number of things. The first one is to review the way in which CUNY allocates money between colleges. As a committee we will probably not have much impact in making CUNY change its ways, but it is important that we understand the allocation model that CUNY uses, and that we are aware of certain budgetary implications on decisions that we make in other areas. So far we were able to ascertain that the key allocation model is driven by enrollment, and we all know that Hunter faces serious problems in this area because of space limitations. While it would be very easy to get the Senate to agree to limit enrollment because smaller classes mean better learning and teaching, we also need to be aware of what that could mean in terms of money allocations. One thing is clear. It is not easy to understand the allocation model that CUNY uses. If we want to be effective as a committee we need to work together. I am very encouraged by the response that we have received from the exofficio members of the committee, Provost Pizer and Vice President for Finance & Administration Zinnanti. Both of them have been very cooperative.

The second aspect is that we want to look into all sources of income, including fundraising through the Hunter College Foundation, and income that is generated by auxiliary enterprises. For instance, you can run the Bookstore in order to minimize the prices for the student so that there is hardly any profit, or we can say that we should try to make some money with these enterprises and then give it back to the students in other ways. There are some advantages and disadvantages, and all I am saying at this point is that we need to look into this and carefully consider the advantages and disadvantages.

We heard a lot from the President at the last meeting about the upcoming fundraising drive, and we need to ask about the Hunter College Foundation. A preliminary look at the figures suggest that fundraising has dropped quite a bit from where it was in the late mineties with fund raising figures of almost 500,000 that went back to just a little over 200,000, but the latest information is not yet available. It is important to look at changes and trends over time to see what is behind these trends and what we can do to improve things. As the President pointed out, fund raising is not something that one person alone can do. It requires the input of the whole community. It is not an issue that the committee sees as faculty against administration, but as an area where we need to see how we can work together and how we can maximize our cooperation for the benefit of all.

The third aspect that is important is that we do not have much input in what CUNY does and how CUNY distributes the money, but we can have an input in how we internally allocate the funds. It is not realistic to expect that we would receive more tax money, and that all of a sudden the State will shower money on CUNY. That is not going to happen. For the time being, the

114 Page 5124 **Minutes** 115 Meeting of the Hunter College Senate 3 November 2004 116 117 118 119 120 committee decided to look into two aspects, but that may change as we go along in our deliberations. One is the adjunct budget. That sounds much easier than it is in reality, because 121 122 there is a lump sum adjunct allocation that comes down from CUNY. But we can look at the 123 expenditure side and how adjuncts are paid and whether there are other sources of income by which they are paid, like research money. We understand that there is no one single document 124 that will tell us all, and we will need the advice of the Vice President for Finance & 125 126 Administration. Despite his very heavy schedule, he will be at our second meeting, and we will 127 have a chance to learn from his wisdom and get his advice on what would be the best documents 128 to look at in order to consider these questions fully. 129 130 The second aspect is something that the Senior Vice Chancellor Allan Dobrin touched on during 131 his visit at Hunter last spring. What he said was that we are trying to look at the structure to see 132 if more money can be made available for teaching and learning and services directly related to it, 133 and to try to minimize expenses in the administrative area. So, we want to look at expenditures 134 here at Hunter and at possible productivity gains. We want to look at these expenditures. We have received some of the information that we asked for, like the executive pay plan that covers 135 certain people. The focus will not be on individual salaries, but on overall budget allocations and 136 137 shifts in funds that go directly into teaching and learning and into administrative functions. 138 139 These are the things that we have identified so far. We are well aware that most of these questions cannot be easily answered. They require that we look at quite a number of 140 documents and we are counting on the Office of the Vice President for Finance & 141 Administration to advise us and help us in locating the required documents." 142 143 144 Report by the Because President Raab had not yet arrived the Chair outlined different ways in which to proceed 145 President: when Dean Schachter moved that the meeting be adjourned. 146 147 The motion carried and the meeting adjourned at 4:35 PM. 148 149 150 151 152 Respectfully submitted. 153 154 155 156 Anthony Picciano, 157 Secretary

Minutes Meeting of the Hunter College Senate November 3, 2004

November 3, 2004			
	APPENDIX 1		
The following members were no	ted as absent from the meeting:	Delitical Octobra	1-1
Faculty		Political Science	John Wallach "E" Ken Sherrill "E"
Africana & P.R./Latino Studies	Juan Flores	Psychology	Tracy A Dennis Cheryl Harding
Anthropology	Jonathan Shannon William Parry		Peter Moeller Rossi Hassad
Art	Brian Wood Reiner Leist Eleanor Richter	SEEK	Ruby Tsang Denise Simmons
Biological Sciences	Ezra Shahn	School of Social Work	Paul Kurzman Gary Mallon
Chemistry	Bill Sweeney "E" Pam Mills "E"	Sociology	Randi Rosenblum Ruth Sidel
Classical & Oriental Studies	Joanne Spurza "E" Tamara Green	Special Education	Dona Matthews
	Rivka Friedman	Theatre	Louisa Thompson Laura Drake
Computer Science	Ioannis Stamos William Sakas	Administration	Nadine Young
Curriculum & Teaching	Janell Drone	Ex-Officio	Miles Gerety William Allen
Economics	Michael Schleifer Howard Chernick		Jackie Wilson
	Avi Liveson	Students	
Educational Foundations	Priscilla Dixon Elizabeth Cardoso Jeanne Weiler	Onyeana Osuaguru Marcus Dargen Kelly Lewis	Stanley A. Diaz Ania Wrona Lisa Davis
Film & Media Studies	Michael Gitlin	Kathrin Ewald-Latham Carmen Lopez Valeria Treves	Ariel Tal Fabio Blasina
Geography	William Solecki Haydee Salmun	Alisha Outridge Jorge Vasquez	Laura Musich "E" Pierre Hypolite Jessica Ross
Health Sciences	Anahi Viladrich Frances Scheffler	Sangram Katoch Luminitz Belecciu Salut Asmal	Shannon Miller Leah Moss Bryce Dixon
Mathematics & Statistics	Makram Talih Sandra Clarkson	Roberta Bongianino Eriko Horiuchi Matthew Hallex	Daniel Berger Helen Puckett Valentine Sanders
Music	Brad Stoller Barbara L. Hampton Mark Spicer	Junette Alayo "E" Rori Picker "E" Jessica Arielle-Roberts Elizabeth Maglieri "E" Spencer Dennis	James Hsiao Charles Blumenthal
Physics	Gregory Foster	opencer Dennis	