Room 1018 East Building Phone: 772-4200

MINUTES

Meeting of the Hunter College Senate 28 September 2005

The 458th meeting of the Hunter College Senate was convened at 4:15 PM in Room W714.

2 3

Presiding:4

Attendance:

Agenda:

Report by the President:

The agenda was adopted as distributed.

Joan Tronto, Chair

The following is a summary statement of President Raab's report to the Senate. She said:

The elected members of the Senate with the exception of those listed in Appendix I.

"There are three items that I want to discuss today, and ask Joan and others how you want to shape some of the continuing discussions about them. Two of them are good news because they are about getting additional resources for Hunter.

The first item is very immediate and that is the positive result of the unfortunate increase in graduate tuition last year. As I mentioned last time, part of that money was allocated for tuition support and I want to remind everyone again to have your graduate students file for financial aid. There is still additional scholarship money available. Let's not lose that opportunity.

There is also going to be an investment by 80th Street in the graduate programs of the colleges. We have an idea of how much money we are going to get, but we don't know whether any of it is going to be re-occurring. So, if we were not going to see this on an annualized basis this is not something obviously that we can add for faculty or staff positions. At this point we have to assume that it is a one shot investment in graduate programs. The Deans are putting together lists and they are going to be talking to the faculty. The Provost is going to be looking at the list of all the needs of the graduate programs that exists in her office, which she inherited. We have the annual reports of chairs about the needs of graduate programs in their departments. And finally we have very important road maps in the external reviews of graduate programs, particularly in the professional schools where there are a lot of accreditation issues having to do with needs that need to be immediately funded. This does not mean that everything that could possibly be funded under this program has been identified or thought about. So, I would ask those of you who are involved with graduate programs to think about how we can get feedback about priorities, so that we can match the various lists from the Deans, Provost, external reviews, and things that have been in the pipeline.

We have also been told that the Chancellor is going to ask Albany within the Master Plan agenda to talk about different ways to go forward if there are various types of regularized tuition increases, which the Chancellor has spoken about to the university-wide student senate [USS]. If there were such a proposal it would be made in the context of raising tuition in order to invest in programs. So, we have been asked as a community to think about how we would invest in our various programs, contingent upon there being additional revenues from tuition, State funding, or savings. We are going to announce the exact figure after we see in full print how much of that would come back to Hunter. It will probably be at least \$4M and we have been asked to say what we would do with it. General categories have been given, and one of those is the flagship initiative with cluster hiring areas which may be changing this year. Another is full-time faculty, and then there is general support for academic programs, and also support for student services. So, again, it is like a laundry list of things that could be funded. I want to be clear about this. We are really being asked for ideas to spend money that we

 don't have. It is a bit of a speculative planning and it is hard to make this request because it is hard to raise expectations by asking you to tell us how many full-time faculty we need, and then have to come back to you in May and tell you that none of this was passed, we don't have new lines, this didn't happen. But we have been asked, nonetheless, to ask this question, and so I am asking again for some type of community discussion on priorities. We will be working with the Chairs, the Deans, the Provost's Office, and Student Services. I think we are pretty clear about where many of the major needs are in all of these areas. But we are going to have this discussion. I would like there to be a wider community discussion of other ideas that have not surfaced in all the other places. So, there are a lot of lists of needs and we just want to make sure that we are covering all of them. At the end of October we will be asked for a general idea of where we would spend money if we did get it and how we would invest it.

These are two areas and we are on a tight time table. Nonetheless, I wanted to put out this request and ask those of you involved with graduate programs to begin discussing and brainstorming within your departments, within the Senate, and within other larger forums so that the ideas are all surfacing. We have to say this is what Hunter wants. I don't actually expect there to be a lot of micro-managing of what our priorities are but there may be categories for expenditures. So, within that there is a the very good news that there are going to be investments in the graduate program, and that the second request for general increased spending of \$4 to \$5M for Hunter College is money we don't know we are going to get but we should start thinking about how we would use it.

Finally, I want to ask for a community discussion on the question of a pilot bell schedule change by adding courses in the Spring on Saturdays. We had a long talk in the Spring about our work on increasing retention for graduation at Hunter College. It is very clear that one piece of that puzzle is increasing the number of classes that are offered at times when students can take them. We are underutilized in this school on Saturdays. We are better than some CUNY schools and worse than others. We must do better, because of the Chancellor's directives of what colleges are going to be evaluated on, and one of the standards is increasing Saturday classes. So, we have been directed to do it. I feel very strongly that it is the right thing to do and one way obviously to do it is to just add the Saturday classes. I applaud Dean Kurzman and the faculty of the School of Social Work for responding so quickly. Some departments have been very active in offering Saturday classes and some departments don't offer any. We really need everyone to think about that. Another way to add Saturday classes is to not just make it a Saturday but make it a Saturday and another day of the week, which means imposing a new item on the bell schedule.

I have asked Marilyn Daley-Weston to make a brief presentation on what the suggestion is. Remember this is a pilot. There are a few departments that are interested in being a part of this pilot for the Spring, schedule, and it is not too early to ask that this discussion be started.

President Raab yielded the floor to Marilyn-Daley Weston for a PowerPoint presentation concerning a planned pilot for a bell schedule change for Wednesday-Saturday classes.

Statement by Senate Chair

Professor Tronto reminded the Senate that a bell schedule change is Senate business and not part of the President's Report.

She asked for unanimous consent to hear Ms. Daley-Weston's presentation at this time.

There having been no objection, it was so ordered.

Statement by the Registrar

Ms. Daley-Weston presented a PowerPoint presentation that explained that the aim of the pilot for a Wednesday-Saturday Bell Schedule is to maximize course availability for students, thereby improving the time-to-graduation rate. Saturday enrollment for Spring 2005 consisted of 77 sections and 2070 students,

Minutes Page 5192

Meeting of the Hunter College Senate

28 September 2005

and 84 sections and 1970 students for Fall 2005. Sunday enrollment for Spring 2005 consisted of 7 sections and 180 students and 5 sections and 103 students for Fall 2005.

Ms. Daley-Weston yielded the floor to President Raab who said:

 "Thank you, Marilyn. I think that the schedules must be completed by mid-November. [This was confirmed by Ms. Daley Weston.] We are trying to plan backwards, and would welcome thoughts, responses, and advice in a timely fashion to be able to launch a small pilot in the Spring to get answers to just these questions. We need to jump-start the Saturday process, and we need to do it soon.

 The final comment I want to make is about the story in today's Newsday, which had a large number of inaccuracies. There was a very unfortunate breach of security from 80th Street computers that affected a certain number of students in the CUNY Law School and a certain number of faculty at our Campus Schools, both current and retired, which caused certain personal information to be available through internet searches for a certain amount of time. As soon as it was discovered by 80th Street it was quickly repaired and they are meeting with faculty over at the Campus Schools to talk about exactly what happened, how it is addressed, and why it is not going to happen again. It was a very unfortunate situation, but the *Newsday* article suggested that it affected a wider number of Hunter faculty and staff, and it did not. I want to make sure that anyone who had read this story had the correct information. "

President Raab concluded her report by responding to a question raised by Professor Manfred Kuechler as follows:

Q: "Your characterization of the initiative for new monies is quite different from what I was told. The way I understand it is that in the past 80th Street submitted a lump sum request to Albany, and that the colleges did not really have any say as to what they need, and that the new initiative is an effort to get financing for the Master Plan, which has already been discussed and put into place. I just want you to comment if I misunderstood and you have the right information. As I understand it, it is not just coming up with new ideas, but it is about setting priorities within the existing Master Plan. The colleges are given rough numbers, and within these numbers they can pick from what is already in the Master Plan.

Secondly, an important consideration is the effort to finance the Master Plan. It involves both undergraduate tuition increases, as well as a renewed effort to get money from outside sources, e.g. fundraising/philanthropy, but also to have Albany commit to funding specific expenses. I agree with you that it is unclear what this initiative will come to, but what we are talking about here is really the budget for the fiscal year that starts next July.

The second item is the use of the roughly \$30M acquired in additional funds by raising graduate tuition this semester. 80th Street has earmarked some \$5M of this to give back to colleges for immediate improvements of graduate programs. They have basically started a competition between the colleges where each college has to say what it would do with the money and allocations would then be made based on these proposals.

A: The last part is incorrect. They have given us a draft number of about \$700,000, because of the graduate tuition. So, you are correct in the sense that they would have liked to make this an open competition so that everybody could apply and put in their thoughts, but they were not going to end that meeting with me in the room until there was an acknowledgement that if 17% of the tuition increase was from Hunter College we would get back that amount of money. There are different numbers. If Brooklyn comes up with something great, they can have a larger share. I was very clear about that. There is a difficulty because of the issue of expectations. I don't ask a committee to spend years in planning something that we can't even promise. So, they have the final say about this money and they are going to give us a round this figure. We believe we can come back and say these are the categories we would like to spend it in, and they could say that

this year we really think you should put it in the new flagship initiative, because we put in the Master Plan that we are going to have new clusters. I am not saying that is going to happen, but we don't have a guarantee right now. We are asked to make a suggestion on spending a certain amount of money, and we have to do it quickly. I would like to have more options and flexibility in that. There is not a lot of mystery to a lot of the needs in a lot of the graduate programs. I mean someone from Art could stand up and tell you what the Art building needs in a minute. I think we know what a lot of the major needs are. That is the answer on the graduate issue. We still don't know if some will be recurring.

On the other budget, I don't know that we are that far apart. I first want to say it actually is not just a lump sum. It is a lot of CUNY funding. There is a fair number of categories even within their lump sum, but I think the main point is that they have made statements, but they have not given us a piece of paper that told us exactly what we are getting for something they call "flagship environment." One of the things I have learned is that there is a lot of flexibility in what we can argue for within a category. There have been clusters called "urban environment" for which we have gotten faculty members. Something you might not immediately assume was what they meant by that category. All the other schools were getting them for environmental science and we got somebody in urban affairs. When they put out a category we try to say what our needs are and we try to stretch that need to fit their category. I think that is the better way to plan. I mean, full time faculty is full time faculty, but then there is the Master Plan. The Honors College is there, and improving undergraduate education. But the concept of improving undergraduate education is pretty broad, and so I think we should see what we need here and then try to put it into one of the categories. They have been clear about one category and that is Student Services. There is not a time that I don't see Eija in the hallway that she doesn't talk about the need for more advisors. I am sure there are some other things people could come up with. So, yes there will be some categories, but I think thinking about our needs and seeing how they can be fitted into this Master Plan category is just as important. What they have asked in consultation is that we are going to be given a certain amount of extra money that comes out of these new ways of creating money, and we have been asked to ask the community for priorities in the community's perspective for this marginal addition of money. And that is what I am doing here. We will get more clarity on these categories, and I will certainly share them with you as soon as we do. But I would ask you not to be limited by them, because I would rather figure out what we need and try to stretch it into the categories that they are giving us."

President Raab called on Vice President Zinnanti who added:

"Manfred, you are right. There is a multi-faceted revenue stream that would be based on indexed annual tuition which would become part of the new revenue stream besides philanthropic giving and enrollment growth. So, it is very difficult to say one size fits all, because this school may have a difficult time in enrollment growth for these facilities. There is a lot to be discussed."

President Raab then added:

"They are even talking about how a place that does not have any space can think about enrollment growth. They are pushing every envelope and this concept of adding philanthropic revenue into an operating budget is extremely difficult to absorb. I think the basic point is that we do not know that we are going to get \$4M-5M extra. At the end of the day it may turn out that two million is new money that comes into our budget, and the other three million is money that I was supposed to raise two months ago to fit into the categories that were given. So yes, you are right. This is part of the Master Plan, but I also hold that there is a level of uncertainty of whether this money is going to materialize. If it does, I think we should have some general ideas about priorities in these basic categories of student support and on the academic side. We can try to parcel them out when we are putting in a final submission. I think we are going to have to do all this work way before

Minutes 221 Page 5194 222

Meeting of the Hunter College Senate

223 28 September 2005

224 225 226

227 228

229 230

231

232

233

234 235

236

237

238 239

240

241

242

243

244 245

246 247

248

249

250

251 252 253

254 255

256 257

258

259

260 261

262

263

264

265 266

267

268 269

270 271

272

273

274

275

276

anyone knows whether this whole new way of budgeting and the whole new master plan is accepted."

President Raab responded to a question raised by Professor Pamela Mills as follows:

- Q: Would you please comment on how we recruit minority students into the Honors College, and particular if there is faculty involvement in that process. The reason that I ask is that I have a small group of students that I am trying to plug into the Honors College. I have encountered a bureaucratic cold shoulder and...
- A: I am absolutely shocked if that is true. You know, the good news was that Laura Schachter had a baby last year, and the bad news is that the vigilance with which we have recruited minority students to our Honors program was not as strong as it was when she was sitting in every meeting saying that this is a college priority. I think the number of students applying and attending at Hunter is better than at any other college number. We have a new recruiting director, Tracy Fredericks, who is passionately committed to minority recruitment for Honors and every other program in this school. It is one of the reasons why she was hired last year. She and I have actually met a fair number of times with Eija. She is very much on top of this, and I am very disappointed to hear that you got the cold shoulder. You certainly did not get it from Tracy.
- Q: I am actually asking about the mechanisms, and so...
- A: You should call Tracy Fredericks and tell her that you have highly qualified minority students and you want to get them in Honors College. That is what I do, and every time any of us are in any high school situation where we meet these students I bring her names. You should give her names, and she will follow up. We recruit very heavily in various targeted high schools with target populations. We are highly committed and so is Tracy Fredericks who would be delighted to respond to your question.
- Q: Can I ask a follow up...
- A: Sure.
- Q: In looking at the Honors Colleges I was surprised to discover that at Hunter it is not headed by a faculty member and all the other colleges that I talked to were. Are we going to be looking for a faculty member?
- A: We had a full search this summer headed by Richard Pizer. Among the members were Liz Beaujour, Joyce Toney, Dean Friedlander, Dean Sherwen, and Laura Schachter. Jonathan Schoenwald, the new Director of the Honors College, has very strong academic credentials and I have read peer reviews from some of the best universities in the country. He was not hired in a faculty position, but he was recommended by the committee. There were two finalists. He is in a transition period with the current Director, Dr. Basquin, and he will become the full-time director in January. I think he will do an extraordinary job.

President Raab called on Vice President Ayravainen, who added:

"I don't have a question, but I want to say that Tracy Fredericks will be heading the Honors College Admissions Committee along with Jonathan Schoenwald. Tracy has a really wonderful strategic plan for recruiting Hunter College students as well as others. She has specifically targeted schools with minority students for the Honors College. You are certainly welcome to email me or Tracy, or both of us. She will go after them, because this is a high priority for us. "

Minutes 277 Page 5195 278 **Meeting of the Hunter College Senate** 279 28 September 2005 280 281 282 Report by the The Chair presented the report as follows: Administrative 283 284 **Committee:** She informed the Senate of a change in staff in the Senate Office. Runako Taylor is leaving for a teaching 285 position in England, and Olivia Vavroch is taking over his position. 286 287 Election of Panels for Search Committee for Dean of the School of Social Work She presented the "Voting Procedures" and the following list of nominees as distributed: 288 289 290 **Nominees for Chair-Panel:** 291 Professor Alan Hausman (Philosophy) Professor Rosalind Petchesky (Political Science) 292 Dean Laurie Sherwen (Schools of Health Professions) 293 294 Professor Ruth Sidel (Sociology) 295 296 Nominees for Member-Panel from the School of Social Work: 297 FACULTY: STUDENTS: 298 Prof. Irene Chung Kimberly Krno Prof. Irwin Epstein 299 Nancy Sparacio 300 Prof. Michael Fabricant Jackie Davis Prof. Bernadette Hadden Jack Harari 301 302 Prof. Annette Mahoney 303 Prof. Robert Salmon 304 Prof. Andrea Savage Prof. Darrell Wheeler 305 306 307 It was moved that the Secretary be instructed to cast a single ballot in favor of the slate for the Member-308 Panel. The motion carried by voice vote. (From these nominees the President will choose a Member-Panel of four faculty and three students.) 309 310 After discussion written ballots were distributed for the election of the Chair-Panel. 311 312 313 The Chair appointed two tellers, Professor Miyares and Mr. Blumenthal. 314 She announced that, because of the late hour, counting of votes would take place in the Senate Office and 315 anyone interested in the election results would be welcome to observe. 316 317 318 The meeting was adjourned at 5:25 PM. 319 320 Respectfully submitted, 321 322 323 324 Stuart Ewen 325 Secretary 326 327 328 **Note: Election Result** 329 Voting by written ballot for Chair-Panel for Search Committee for Dean of the School of Social Work produced the following 330 results: 331 Alan Hausman: 46 votes Rosalind Petchesky: 332 44 votes 333 Laurie Sherwen: 31 votes 334 Ruth Sidel: 47 votes 335 Professors Sidel, Hausman, and Petchesky were elected.